


When a taxpayer is dissatisfied with the action taken by the District Director of the Tax Office for taxation and delinquent tax, the said taxpayer may file a request for a review, for example to cancel that disposition. This system of request for review is a procedure to simply and quickly protect taxpayer rights and interests. In principle, a taxpayer who objects to a disposition shall first file a request for review before bringing a lawsuit in court “the principle of petition after administrative protest.”

■ Overview of the request for review system for national tax



1 Request for re-examination

~ Simplified, prompt and fair remedies for taxpayer rights ~

A request for re-examination is intended for review by the District Director of the Tax Office of its own disposition. And it aims to remedy infringements upon the rights and interests of citizens and to ensure the proper operation of public administration with simplified, prompt and fair procedures. Thus, when a request for re-examination is filed, we endeavor to listen intently to taxpayers' claims, conduct fair examinations and consideration, and process requests appropriately and expeditiously.

2 Request for reconsideration

~ Remedy for taxpayer rights by a fair third-party institution ~

Request for Reconsideration is a system whereby when a taxpayer is dissatisfied with a disposition by the District Director of the Tax Office, the taxpayer can file an objection with the Director-General of the National Tax Tribunal. Missions of the National Tax Tribunal are as follows: pursue remedy of the legitimate rights and interests of taxpayers as an organization of a fair third party; and contribute to ensuring the proper operation of tax administration. Thus, for such request, it undertakes an examination, discusses it, and renders a verdict on it.

It is noteworthy that the Director-General of the National Tax Tribunal may decide without being bound by the legal interpretation indicated in the NTA Commissioner's notices.

Such decisions are final administrative rulings given within the NTA, against which the District Director of the Tax Office etc. are not entitled to file litigation, because of dissatisfaction with them. In addition, such decisions should never be more disadvantageous for taxpayers than any dispositions determined by the District Director of the Tax Office etc.

※ When a taxpayer is dissatisfied with the action taken by the NTA Commissioner, the taxpayer may file a request for reconsideration against the NTA Commissioner.

3 Litigation

~ Remedy by law ~

Even after the decision by the Director-General of the National Tax Tribunal, taxpayers who remain dissatisfied are entitled to file litigation.

Response to complaints from taxpayers

The NTA receives from taxpayers such voices as complaints, dissatisfactions, or inquiries on problems they have regarding other operations of Tax Offices, Regional Taxation Bureaus, and the NTA or the tax matters in general, in addition to request for review of disposition made by the NTA. Concerning such complaints etc. received from taxpayers, the NTA strives to respond promptly and adequately from the viewpoint of each taxpayer as well as to ensure that taxpayers properly understand and rely on the tax administration. The NTA appoints Taxpayer Support Officers to properly respond to taxpayer complaints on disposition that might affect taxpayers' rights and interests, for example by explaining the procedures to remedy taxpayer rights.

4 Trend in remedies for taxpayer rights

~ The NTA is striving to finish processing requests for re-examination within 3 months and requests for reconsideration within 1 year in principle. ~

(1) Request for re-examination

- **Target** The NTA has set the standard length of deliberations for a request for re-examination to 3 months and is striving to finish processing requests for re-examination within 3 months in principle.
- **Result** Results in FY2025: 99.8% of requests for re-examination were closed within 3 months. 1,448 re-examinations were requested in FY2025 (1,370 on taxation and 78 on tax collection). Of these, 8.0% of taxpayer claims were approved in whole or in part.

(2) Request for reconsideration


- **Target** The NTA and the National Tax Tribunal have set the standard length of deliberations for a request for reconsideration to 1 year and are striving to finish processing requests for reconsideration within 1 year in principle.
- **Result** Results in FY2025: 98.8% of requests for consideration were closed within 1 year. There were 3,128 requests for consideration in FY2025 (2,907 on taxation and 221 on tax collection). Of these, 7.2% of taxpayer claims were approved in whole or in part.

(3) Litigation

For litigation, 201 cases were closed in FY2025 (179 on taxation, 17 on tax collection, and 5 on the National Tax Tribunal). Of these, 4.0% of taxpayer claims were approved in whole or in part.

※ The NTA and the National Tax Tribunal provide information such as overviews of the requests for re-examination, the requests for reconsideration and litigation and cases of decisions on requests for reconsideration. These are on the NTA website and on the National Tax Tribunal website (<https://www.kfs.go.jp> [in Japanese]).


■ Percentage of processed requests for re-examination within 3 months, and number of processed requests for re-examination



※ 1 Percentage figures of processed cases were calculated excluding MAP (Mutual Agreement Procedure) cases, public prosecution-related cases and international taxation cases, as well as, in FY2020 and ensuing years, cases in which re-examination requests could not be processed within three months due to interruptions by natural disasters etc. or taxpayers' conditions.

※ 2 If any disciplinary action was taken for the District Director of the Tax Office etc. on or before March 31, 2016, that was related to "request for reinvestigation."

■ Percentage of processed requests for reconsideration within 1 year, and number of processed requests for reconsideration



※ Percentage figures of processed requests were calculated by excluding the case suspension period for such cases as MAP cases and public prosecution related cases. Also, for FY2021, calculation was done excluding not only the above period but also the period when examination and/or discussion were interrupted due to natural disasters etc. or the conditions of those who requested for reconsideration.